

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/531,762	09/29/2005	Edgar Ivo Maria Van Der Heijden	903-132 PCT/US	4519
23869 HOFFMANN	7590 01/05/2011 & BARON, LLP	EXAMINER		
6900 JERICHO	O TURNPIKE		SMITH, PRESTON	
SYOSSET, NY	Y 11791		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1782	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/05/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/531,762	VAN DER HEIJDEN, EDGAR IVO MARIA		
Examiner	Art Unit		
PRESTON SMITH	1782		

	LAMITHIE	ALLOHIL				
	PRESTON SMITH	1782				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 13 December 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS 1. 2 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 5.	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar , or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
periods:	g date of the final rejection. dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing to the control of the contro	in the final rejection, while date of the final rejection, while date of the final rejection. FIRST REPLY WAS Fill 36(a) and the appropriat of the fee. The appropriation of the final office of the final rejection, ending the final rejection of the final rejection, ending the final rejection of t	chever is later. In in. LED WITHIN TWO extension fee atte extension fee action; or (2) as even if timely filed,			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with MENOMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment of the filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment of the filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment of the filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment of the filed after a final rejection, to the filed after a final rejection, and the filed after a filed aft	ithin the time period set forth in 37 of out prior to the date of filling a brief, nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	CFR 41.37(a). will <u>not</u> be entered be 'E below);	cause			
(c) ☑ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.12 4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 7. ☒ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov	corresponding number of finally reje 16 and 41.33(a)). 21. See attached Notice of Non-Core owable if submitted in a separate, t will not be entered, or b) will	cted claims. mpliant Amendment (limely filed amendmen	PTOL-324).			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected for Claim(s) rejected: 1-15 and 29-32 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 16-28 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 OFR 1.116(e).	t before or on the date of filing a No					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration, has been considered but	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. Se n of the status of the claims after er	I and/or appellant fails e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1) atry is below or attach	s to provide a). ed.			
See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1782

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly added limitation "wherein the pump assembly is releasably secured to a neck of the reservoir" requires further search and/or considerations.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the liquid inside of the device of Hildebrand would not be different than the liquid inside of the glass (see pages 8-10) however Schwab was used to teach that this feature would have been obvious. New search and/or considerations are required for the newly added imministant. Applicant also argues that Hildebrand does not comprise a reservoir with a stock of foam liquid that can be formed into a foam by mixing that in; (see last paragraph of page 9). The injector would provide both the liquid-foam pump and the air pump since air and liquid inside of they injector would be forced out by the pump feature. Foam is a mixture of a liquid and air. Applicant also makes several arguments against the references individually, see pages 8-11 however the references have to be considered in combination, and not alone.